

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/031,386 02/25		02/25/2002	Noriyuki Fukui	0925-0191P	2326	
2292	7590	10/06/2004	EXAMINER			
BIRCH S	TEWAR	ΓKOLASCH &	DILDINE JR, R STEPHEN			
PO BOX 7		VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
111220 0	,			2133		
				DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/031,38	6	FUKUI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
		R. Stepher	n Dildine	2133					
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no eve tion. s, a reply within the statu period will apply and will y statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) day: Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1							
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ✓ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-10 is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 4 is/are rejected. ✓ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ✓ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)🛛 .	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
10)🛛 .	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>2/25/2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection		· ·						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the outhors of the outhors declaration is objected to by the control of				•				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Elee the attached detailed Office action for	uments have bee uments have bee e priority docume Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment	• •		_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)				

Art Unit: 2133

Drawings

Figure 18 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Step S2 of Fig 2 is labeled as a step to detect whether the currently received frame is the fourth or subsequent frame, which appears to contradict page 16 of the specification which states "In such case, it is first judged whether a frame error has been detected three times or more in the past (S2)".

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The following title is suggested: HYBRID SR/GBN MODE REQUEST REPEAT COMMUNICATION SYSTEM.

35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms that are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: "Judging that frames have disappeared in the case where discontinuity occurs in transmission numbers having been checked, re-transmission is demanded", page 3, "where the B station detects consecutive frame errors and although the number y of consecution is great", page 6, "Conversely, in the case where the fresh frame were temporarily made preferential, a time, at which frames reach, would be late, being re-transmitted in a direction toward the B station from the re-transmission demand so that a time, for which frames the A station are delayed, would be prolonged", page 6, "with a specified value set small, GBN is frequently actuated to lower the throughput exceedingly", page 7, "are existent in abundance", page 31, "in the event of an amount of data transmission being much", page 31.

Application/Control Number: 10/031,386

Art Unit: 2133

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 4 recites "which are generated in the past without consecution" which fails to have a clear meaning to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-3 and 5-10 are allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Shirota et al. (6,181,953) states at column 11, lines 19-41 "In the WORM-ARQ, a selective repeat method (SR) and a go-back-N method (GBN) are switched with each other according to transmission quality in the radio path", Sabaa et al. (6,389,016) states at column 2, lines 11-21 "U.S. Pat. No. 5,210,751 issued on May 11, 1993 to Onoe et al discloses a signal transmission system which is designed for a mobile communication system ... it uses well known retransmission mechanisms, such as a Go-Back-N error recovery system, a selective repeat system or a combination thereof', Takeuchi et al. (5,907,563) states at column 1, lines 31-39, "In the PDC (Personal Digital Cellular) system, which is one of the Japanese digital mobile phone systems, the data communication of 2400 bit/s adopts the FEC strategy using BCH (Bose Chaudhuri Hocquenghem) code and the data communication of 9600 bit/s adopts the ARQ strategy with a combination of Go-Back-N and Selective Repeat protocols. In other mobile communication systems, the FEC strategy, the ARQ strategy or the FEC/ARQ hybrid strategy may be adopted for data communication", Matsuki et al. (5,715,257) states at column 2, lines 36-40 "One solution for the above problem is the combination of an SR ARQ system and a GBN (Go-back-N) ARQ system which has less transmission efficiency than an SR ARQ system but has no problem of differentiation of modulo turns", Maeda at al. (JP 2000-332819) teaches the WORM-ARQ system where SR is normally used and GBN is used under an inferior environment.

Application/Control Number: 10/031,386

Art Unit: 2133

The Patent Abstracts of Japan English abstract of Nakamura et al. (JP 62-210,746 A cited by applicant in the IDS of 18 January, 2002) states, in the paragraph labeled CONSTITUTION:, "a system is regulated by a Selective Repeat ARQ possible to perform plural number of resending requests. And when the number of continuous erroneous frames exceed the regulated value, all of the frames after the frame in which the error is generated, are resent by logic link unit sharing a data link. The system is switched to a Go-Back-N ARQ system, then a resending is performed", the article by Hayashida et al. discloses a system (Rev-GBN-SR) where multiple copies of a requested packet are retransmitted, the article by Yoshimoto et al. shows a performance analysis for both the GBN and SR ARQ schemes, the article by Kallei discusses hybrid ARQ protocols, the article by Ito et al. shows that the WORM-ARQ has a fixed threshold for switching between SR and GBN mode, the article by Lee et al. states that, in the WORM-ARQ system, GBN mode is chosen in sever error rate conditions and SR mode is selected under normal conditions. None of these references teach or suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention a "threshold setting means for setting the threshold on the basis of a status of transmission associated with the first station and the second station" (applicants' claim 1), or a "threshold setting means for setting the threshold on the basis of a status of transmission associated with the first station and the second station" (applicants' claim 5), or a "threshold setting means for setting a threshold on the basis of the judgment" (applicants' claim 10).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. Stephen Dildine whose telephone number is 703-305-5524. The examiner can normally be reached on M, Tu, Th, F 5:55 am to 4:25 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on 703-305-9595. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/031,386

Art Unit: 2133

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R. Stephen Dildine Primary Examiner Art Unit 2133 Page 5

R. Stephen Dildine